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## المثالي للمؤثرات في فراغات بـاناخ

## انتصارات محمد حسز الشبكي كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { في هذا البحث نقدم لأول مرة المثالي } \zeta_{p, q} \text { كالتالي } \\
& \zeta_{p, q}=\left\{T \epsilon L ; \Sigma_{n}^{q / p^{-1}} e_{n}(T)^{q}<\infty, 0<p / q \leqslant \infty .\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$


 فراغات هيلبرت ، حيث Sp,q

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}=\left\{T \epsilon \mathrm{~L} ; \Sigma \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{p}-1} \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~T})^{\mathrm{q}}<\infty, 0<\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} \leqslant \infty\right\} \\
& \text { T للمؤثر } n \text { هي الاعداد التقريبية من درجة } \alpha_{n}(T) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Introduction

For every operator $T$ between Banach spaces a sequence of entropy numbers $e_{n}(T)$ with $n=1,2, \ldots$ and the ideal $\zeta_{\mathrm{p}}$ of operators T such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{n}(T)^{p}<\infty, 0<p<\infty$, have been defined and investigated in [1].
The ideal $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{app}}$ of operators with $\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{T})^{\mathrm{p}}<\infty$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{T})$ is the n -th approximation number has been defined [7]. Furthermore, it has been found that [1]

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{p}}\left(1_{2}, 1_{2}\right)=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{app}}\left(1_{2}, 1_{2}\right)
$$

In this paper we introduce and investigate the ideal $\zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{O}<\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} \leqslant \infty$, of operators such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1} e_{n}(T)^{q}<\infty$, which provides a generalization of $\zeta_{p}$. Moreover, we give a relation between $\zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}$ in Hilbert spaces, where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}$ has been defined in [4].

## Preliminaries

In the following we mention the notion and some properties of the Lorentz sequence space $1_{p, q}$, [5], which will be used throughout this work.
Definition 1. For $0<p \leqslant \infty, 0<q \leqslant \infty$ the Lorentz sequence space $1_{p, q}$ is defined as the collection of sequences $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \infty} \epsilon c_{0}$, such that

$$
\left\|\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}\right\|_{1 p, q}:= \begin{cases}\left.\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{q / p-1}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| * q\right) 1 / q & \text { if } q<\infty \\ \sup _{i} i^{1 / p}\left|\lambda_{i}\right| * & \text { if } q=\infty\end{cases}
$$

is finite, where $\left|\lambda_{j}\right| *$ denotes the $j$-th term in non-increasing rearrangement of the sequence $\left\{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right\}$.
Lemma 1. [3]. For $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{p} \leqslant \infty, \mathrm{O}<\mathrm{q} \leqslant \infty, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$ is a quasi-normed space with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{1 p, q}$.
Lemma 2. (i) If $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{p} \leqslant \infty$ and $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{q}_{1}<\mathrm{q}_{2} \leqslant \infty$, then

$$
1_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} 1} \subset 1_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} 2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\lambda\|_{l_{p, q_{2}}} \leqslant c\|\lambda\|_{l_{p, q_{1}}} \text { for each } \lambda \in 1_{p, q_{1}} \\
& \text { (ii) If } 0<p_{1}<p_{2} \leqslant \infty \text { and } 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leqslant \infty, \text { then } \\
& 1_{p_{1}, q_{1}} \subset 1_{p_{2}, q_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|\lambda\|_{\mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{2}} \leqslant \mathrm{c}\|\lambda\|_{\mathrm{l}_{p_{1}}, \mathrm{q}_{1}} \text { for each } \lambda \epsilon \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{1}} .
$$

Here c stands for a positive constant depending on parameters $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ and independent of $\lambda$.

This is a direct consequence of the result concerning Lorentz sequence spaces $1_{p, q}$ obtained by the interpolation theory of Banach spaces [3].
Lemma 3 [2]. Let $\left\{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{{ }^{*} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ be the non-increasing and non-decreasing rearrangements of a finite sequence $\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{l \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ of positive numbers, respectively. Then for two sequences $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ and $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ of positive numbers we have

$$
\sum_{i} a_{i}^{*}{ }^{*} b_{i} \leqslant \sum_{i} a_{i} b_{i} \leqslant \sum_{i} a_{i}^{*} b_{i}^{*}
$$

We note that these inequalities hold in case of infinite sequences if the right hand side is convergent.

In the following $E, F$ and $G$ are real Banach spaces. The closed unit ball of $E$ is denoted by $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{E}}$. Furthermore, L denotes the class of all operators between arbitrary Banach spaces and $L(E, F)$ denotes the Banach space of all bounded operators from $E$ into $F$. We denote by $L_{n}(E, F)$ the subspace of $L(E, F)$ of operators $T$ of $\operatorname{rank}(T)<n$. The logarithm is to base 2.
Definition 2. For each operator $T \in L(E, F)$ and for $n=1,2, \ldots$, the approximation numbers $\alpha_{n}(T)$ are defined by

$$
\alpha_{n}(T):=\inf \left\{\|T-A\| ; A \in L_{n}(E, F)\right\}
$$

For the general properties of the approximation numbers we may refer to [6].
By making use of the approximation numbers the following class of operators is defined, generalizing that in [7].
Definition 3. [4]. For $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} \leqslant \infty$,

$$
S_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}:=\left\{\mathrm{T} \in \mathrm{~L} ;\left\{\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~T})\right\} \in 1_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\right\}
$$

As shown in [4] this class is an operator ideal for which every component $S_{p, q}^{\mathrm{app}}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F})$ becomes a complete metric linear space with respect to the quasi-norm

$$
\sigma_{p, q}(T):=\left(\sum_{n} n^{q / p-1} \alpha_{n}(T)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Definition 4. For every operator $T \in L(E, F)$ the $n$-th entropy number $e_{n}(T)$ is defined to be the infimum of all $\sigma \geqslant 0$ such that there are $\mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{q}} \in \mathrm{F}$ with $\mathrm{q} \leqslant 2^{\mathrm{n}-1}$ and

$$
T\left(U_{E}\right) \subseteq \underset{1}{d}\left\{y_{i}+\sigma U_{F}\right\}
$$

We recall without proof the following properties of entropy numbers [1].
Proposition 1 [1]. If $T \in L(E, F)$, then

$$
\|T\|=e_{1}(T) \geqslant e_{2}(T) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant 0
$$

Proposition 2 [1]. If $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \in \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F})$, then

$$
e_{n_{1}}+n_{2}-1\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right) \leqslant e_{n_{1}}\left(T_{1}\right)+e_{n_{2}}\left(T_{2}\right)
$$

## Quasi-normed operator ideal $\zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$

In this section we define and investigate the properties of $\zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$ as the ideal of operators [6]. We begin with
Definition 5. Given $0<p, q \leqslant \infty$, we define

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}:=\left\{\mathrm{T} \in \mathrm{~L} ;\left\{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~T})\right\} \in 1_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
E_{p, q}:=\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1} e_{n}(T)^{q}\right\}^{1 / q}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2} \in \zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F})$. Then

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2} \in \zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~F})
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)\right]
$$

Proof. Since the sequence $\left\{e_{n}(T)\right\}$ is non-increasing and additive, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} & n^{q / p-1} e_{n}\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)^{q} \\
& \leqslant \max \left(2,2^{q / p}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1} e_{2 n-1}\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)^{q} \\
& \leqslant \max \left(2,2^{q / p}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1}\left(e_{n}\left(T_{1}\right)+e_{n}\left(T_{2}\right)\right)^{q} \\
& \leqslant \max \left(2,2^{q / p}\right) \max \left(2^{q-1}, 1\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1}\left(e_{n}\left(T_{1}\right)^{q}+e_{n}\left(T_{2}\right)^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used proposition 2 and the inequality

$$
(\xi+\eta)^{\mathrm{a}} \leqslant\left\{\max \left(2^{\mathrm{a}-1}, 1\right)\left(\xi^{\mathrm{a}}+\eta^{\mathrm{a}}\right)\right\}
$$

for any $\xi \geqslant 0, \eta \geqslant 0$ and $\mathrm{a}>0$.

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{p, q} & \left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right) \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \max \left(2^{1 / q}, 2^{1 / p}\right) \max \left(2^{1-1 / q}, 2^{1 / q-1}\right)\left\{E_{p, q}\left(T_{1}\right)+E_{p, q}\left(T_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =c_{p, q}\left\{E_{p, q}\left(T_{1}\right)+E_{p, q}\left(T_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c_{p, q}=\max \left(2,2^{2 / q-1}, 2^{1 / p-1 / q+1}, 2^{1 / p+1 / q-1}\right)$.
Without proof we state
Theorem 2. Let $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}$ and H be Banach spaces and $\mathrm{X} \in \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}), \mathrm{T} \in \zeta_{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G})$ and $Y \in L(G, H)$. Then we have $Y T X \in \zeta_{p, q}(E, H)$ and

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{YTX}) \leqslant\|\mathrm{Y}\| \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~T})\|\mathrm{X}\|
$$

By definition 4 and lemma 2, the next proposition could be easily proved. So the proof is omitted.
Proposition 3. (i) If $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{p} \leqslant \infty$ and $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{q}_{1}<\mathrm{q}_{2} \leqslant \infty$, then
and

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}_{1}}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~F}) \subset \zeta_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}_{2}}}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~F})
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}_{2}}(\mathrm{~T}) \leqslant \mathrm{c} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}_{1}}(\mathrm{~T})
$$

(ii) If $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{p}_{1}<\mathrm{p}_{2}<\infty$ and $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2} \leqslant \infty$, then

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{1}}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~F}) \subset \zeta_{\mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{2}}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~F})
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{2}}(\mathrm{~T}) \leqslant \mathrm{c} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{1}}(\mathrm{~T})
$$

Relation between $\zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{p} p}$ in Hilbert spaces
In this section we shall investigate the relationship between $\zeta_{p, q}\left(1_{2}, 1_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}\left(1_{2}, 1_{2}\right)$ to obtain the inequality concerning $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}$. These are the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ ) -version extending theorems 4 and 5 in [1].
Lemma 3 [6]. Let $\mathrm{S} \in \mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{S}\left\{\xi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\left\{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \xi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \in \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}}$. Then

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~S})=\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Theorem 3. Let $0<p, q \leqslant \infty$. Then we have

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\left(1_{2}, 1_{2}\right) \subset \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}\left(\mathrm{l}_{2}, 1_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{p, q}(S) \leqslant c \quad E_{p, q}(S) \text { for each } S \in \zeta_{p, q}\left(l_{2}, 1_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $S \in L\left(l_{2}, l_{2}\right)$ such that $S\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}=\left\{\sigma_{n} \xi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\} \in c_{o}$.
Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant-\cdots \geqslant 0$. If $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}=0$, then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \leqslant 2 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { for every } \mathrm{n}
$$

So assume that $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \sigma_{n}>0$.
Put

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{\mathrm{n}}, \xi_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \ldots\right):=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

and

$$
J_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right):=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, O, \ldots\right)
$$

Then, $S_{n}=Q_{n} S J_{n}$ is invertible. If $I_{n}$ denotes the identity map of $1_{2}$, it will follow from $e_{n}\left(I_{n}\right) \geqslant 1 / 2$ and the properties of entropy numbers that

$$
1 / 2 \leqslant e_{n}\left(I_{n}\right) \leqslant e_{n}\left(S_{n}\right)\left\|S_{n}^{-1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|Q_{n}\right\| e_{n}(S)\left\|J_{n}\right\| \sigma_{n}^{-1} \leqslant e_{n}(S) \sigma_{n}^{-1} .
$$

Then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \leqslant 2 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~S})
$$

Using lemma 3 , we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sigma_{p, q}(S)\right)^{q}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1} \alpha_{n}(S)^{q} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1}\left(2 e_{n}(S)\right)^{q} \\
& \quad=2^{q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1} e_{n}(S)^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~S}) \leqslant 2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~S})
$$

which finishes the proof.
Naturally, we would ask whether the converse of the above theorem holds? In case $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}$ this is true and has been proved in [1]. Our answer to the proposed question is negative in case $p \neq q$ as shown by the following theorem. First we give a lemma.

Lemma 4 [1]. Let $\mathrm{S} \in \mathrm{L}\left(1_{2}, 1_{2}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{S}\left\{\xi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \xi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and $\left\{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \in c_{\mathrm{o}}$. If we define

$$
\mathrm{E}(\varepsilon):=\max \left\{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~S})>\epsilon\right\} \text { for } \mathrm{O}<\epsilon<\sigma_{1}
$$

where $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant 0$. Then $\mathrm{E}(2 \epsilon) \leqslant 1+{\underset{\sigma}{\mathrm{k}},}_{\sum \lambda} \log \left(8 \sigma_{\mathrm{k}} / \epsilon\right)$.

Theorem 4. Let $\mathrm{q} \leqslant \mathrm{p}$ and $\mathrm{S} \in \mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{l}_{2}, l_{2}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{S}\left\{\xi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\left\{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \xi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \in \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}}$. Then $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}\left(l_{2}, 1_{2}\right) \subset \zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\left(l_{2}, 1_{2}\right) \quad$ for each $\mathrm{S} \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}\left(l_{2}, 1_{2}\right)$
and
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{S}) \leqslant \mathrm{c} \sigma_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{S})$, where c is some positive constant.
Proof. Let $\mathrm{S} \in \zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{l}_{2}, \mathrm{l}_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
2^{-q}\left(E_{p, q}(S)\right)^{q}=2^{-q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q / p-1} e_{n}(S)^{q}
$$

Since $q \leqslant p$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{-q}\left(E_{p, q}(S)\right)^{q} \leqslant 2^{-q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{n}(S)^{q} \\
& =2^{-q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left[e_{n}(S)^{q}-e_{n+1}(S)^{q}\right] \\
& \leqslant 2^{-\mathrm{q}} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{1}} \mathrm{E}(\epsilon) \mathrm{d} \epsilon^{\mathrm{q}} \\
& \leqslant \sigma_{1}^{q}+\int_{0}^{\sigma_{1}} \underset{\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{k}}\right\rangle \epsilon}{\Sigma} \log \left(8 \sigma_{\mathrm{k}} / \epsilon\right) \mathrm{d} \epsilon^{\mathrm{q}} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{q}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{\mathrm{i}+1}}^{\sigma_{\mathrm{i}}} \underset{\left\langle\sigma_{\mathrm{k}}\right\rangle \epsilon}{\sum} \log \left(8 \sigma_{\mathrm{k}} / \epsilon\right) \mathrm{d} \epsilon^{\mathrm{q}} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{\mathrm{q}}+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{i}} \int_{\sigma_{\mathrm{i}+1}}^{\sigma_{\mathrm{i}}} \log \left(8 \sigma_{\mathrm{k}} / \epsilon\right) \mathrm{d} \epsilon^{\mathrm{q}} \\
& =\sigma_{1}^{\mathrm{q}}+\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{\mathrm{k}}} \log \left(8 \sigma_{\mathrm{k}} / \epsilon\right) \mathrm{d} \varepsilon^{q} \\
& 2^{-\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~S})\right)^{\mathrm{q}}=\sigma_{1}^{\mathrm{q}}+88^{\mathrm{q}} / \mathrm{q} \int_{\mathrm{o}}^{8^{-\mathrm{q}}} \log (1 / \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~S}) \leqslant \mathrm{c} \sigma_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{~S}), \quad \mathrm{p} \geqslant \mathrm{q}
$$

which completes the proof.

As a consequence of theorems 3 and 4 we obtain
Theorem 5. Let $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{q} \leqslant \mathrm{p}<\infty$. Then

$$
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}\left(l_{2}, 1_{2}\right) \subset \zeta_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{l}_{2}, l_{2}\right) \subset \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{app}}\left(l_{2}, \mathrm{l}_{2}\right)
$$

## REFERENCES

1. B. Carl and A. Pietsch, Entropy numbers of operators in Banach spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 609, 21-33 (1977)
2. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 1967.
3. R. Hunt, On L(p,q)-spaces, Enseignement Math. 12, 249-76 (1966).
4. Ken-ichi Miyazaki, (p,q)-Nuclear operators in case of $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{p}<1$, Hiroshima Math. J. 6, 555-72 (1976)
5. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
6. A. Pietsch, s-Numbers of operators in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 51, $201-23$ (1974).
7. A Pietsch, Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1972.
