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#### Abstract

In the framework of ordered $G$-metric spaces, fixed points of maps that satisfy the generalized $(\psi, \varphi)$-Chatterjea type contractive conditions are obtained. The results presented in the paper generalize and extend several well known comparable results in the literature.


## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The study of fixed points of mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the center of rigorous research activity. Mustafa and Sims [1] generalized the concept of a metric space. Based on the notion of generalized metric spaces, Mustafa et al. [1-5] obtained some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions. Abbas and Rhoades [6] initiated the study of a common fixed point theory in generalized metric spaces. Abbas et al. [7] and Chugh et al. [8] obtained some fixed point results for maps satisfying property $P$ in $G$-metric spaces. Recently, Shatanawi [9] proved some fixed point results for self mappings in a complete $G$-metric space under some contractive conditions related to a nondecreasing map $\phi: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^{n}(t)=0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Recently, Saadati et al. [10] proved some fixed point results for contractive mappings in partially ordered $G$-metric spaces.

Ran and Reurings [11] extended Banach contraction principle in partially ordered metric spaces with some applications to linear and nonlinear matrix equations, while Nieto and Rodríguez-López [12] extended the result of Ran and Reurings and applied their main result to obtain a unique solution for a first order ordinary differential equation
with periodic boundary conditions. Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [13] introduced the concept of mixed monotone mappings and obtained some coupled fixed point results. Also, they applied their results to a first order differential equation with periodic boundary conditions.

Alber and Guerre-Delabriere [14] introduced the concept of weakly contractive mappings and proved the existence of fixed points of such mappings in Hilbert spaces. Thereafter, in 2001, Rhoades [15] proved the fixed point theorem which is one of the generalizations of Banach's contraction mapping principle. Weakly contractive mappings are closely related to the mappings of Boyd and Wong [16] and of Reich types [17]. Recently, Dorić [18] proved a common fixed point theorem for generalized $(\psi, \phi)$-weakly contractive mappings. Fixed point problems involving weak contractions and mappings satisfying weak contractive type inequalities have been studied by many authors (see $[8,14,15,18-21]$ and references cited therein).

In this paper, we generalize the Chatterjea type contraction mappings to generalized $(\psi, \varphi)$-Chatterjea type contraction mappings and derive some fixed point results for singlevalued mappings in ordered generalized metric spaces.

Consistent with Mustafa and Sims [1], the following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 1. Let $X$ be a nonempty set. Suppose that a mapping $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow R^{+}$satisfies
$\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right) G(x, y, z)=0$ if $x=y=z$;
$\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right) 0<G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $x \neq y$;
$\left(\mathrm{G}_{3}\right) G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $y \neq z$;
$\left(\mathrm{G}_{4}\right) G(x, y, z)=G(x, z, y)=G(y, z, x)=\cdots$ (symmetry in all three variables);
$\left(\mathrm{G}_{5}\right) G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a)+G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in$ X.

Then, $G$ is called a $G$-metric on $X$ and $(X, G)$ is called a $G$ metric space.

Definition 2. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a $G$-metric space $X$ is
(i) a G-Cauchy sequence if, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there is a natural number $n_{0}$ such that for all $n, m, l \geq$ $n_{0}, G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x_{l}\right)<\varepsilon$,
(ii) a G-Convergent sequence if, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is an $x \in X$ and an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $n, m \geq$ $n_{0}, G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x\right)<\varepsilon$.
A $G$-metric space on $X$ is said to be $G$-complete if every $G$ Cauchy sequence in $X$ is $G$-convergent in $X$. It is known that $\left\{x_{n}\right\} G$-converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $G\left(x_{m}, x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow$ 0 as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3 (see [1]). Let X be a G-metric space. Then, the following are equivalent.
(1) The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is $G$-convergent to $x$.
(2) $G\left(x_{n}, x_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(3) $G\left(x_{n}, x, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(4) $G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 4 (see [1]). Let $X$ be a G-metric space. Then, the following are equivalent.
(1) The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is G-Cauchy.
(2) For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $n, m \geq n_{0}, G\left(x_{n}, x_{m}, x_{m}\right)<\varepsilon$; that is, if $G\left(x_{n}\right.$, $\left.x_{m}, x_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 5. A $G$-metric on $X$ is said to be symmetric if $G(x, y, y)=G(y, x, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 6. Every $G$-metric on $X$ defines a metric $d_{G}$ on $X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}(x, y)=G(x, y, y)+G(y, x, x), \quad \forall x, y \in X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a symmetric $G$-metric space, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}(x, y)=2 G(x, y, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, if $G$ is not symmetric, then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2} G(x, y, y) \leq d_{G}(x, y) \leq 3 G(x, y, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we recall some basic definitions and notations.

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. A map $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be
(a) Kannan type (see [22]) if there exists a $k \in(0,1 / 2$ ] such that $d(T x, T y) \leq k[d(x, T x)+d(y, T y)]$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(b) Chatterjea type [20] if there exists a $k \in(0,1 / 2$ ] such that $d(T x, T y) \leq k[d(x, T y)+d(y, T x)]$ for all $x, y \in$ $X$.

Definition 7. We define two classes of mappings as follows:
$\Psi=\{\psi \mid \psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and nondecreasing with $\psi(t)=0$ if and only if $t=0\}$ and $\Phi=\left\{\varphi \mid \varphi:[0, \infty)^{5} \rightarrow[0, \infty)\right.$ is lower semicontinuous with $\varphi\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=0$ if and only if $\left.t_{1}=t_{2}=t_{3}=t_{4}=t_{5}=0\right\}$.

Definition 8. An ordered partial $G$-metric space is said to have a sequential limit comparison property if for every nondecreasing sequence (nonincreasing sequence) $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $G\left(x_{n}, x, x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $x_{n} \preceq x\left(x \leq x_{n}\right)$, respectively.

## 2. Fixed Point Results

In this section, we obtain fixed point results for mappings satisfying generalized $(\varphi, \psi)$-Chatterjea type contractive conditions on partially ordered complete generalized metric space. We start with the following result.

Theorem 9. Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $f$ be a nondecreasing self mapping on a complete $G$-metric space $X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(G(f x, f y, f y)) \leq \psi(M(x, y, y))-\varphi(N(x, y, y)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi \in \Psi, \varphi \in \Phi$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(x, y, y)=\max \{ \{(x, y, y), G(x, f x, f x), \\
& G(y, f y, f y), \\
&\left.\frac{[G(x, f y, f y)+G(y, f x, f x)]}{2}\right\} \\
& N(x, y, y)=(G(x, y, y), G(x, f x, f x) \\
&G(y, f y, f y), G(x, f y, f y), G(y, f x, f x)) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$. Suppose that there exists $x_{0} \in$ $X$ with $x_{0} \leq f x_{0}$. If $f$ is continuous or $X$ a sequential limit comparison property, then $f$ has a fixed point in $X$.

Proof. If $f x_{0}=x_{0}$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $f x_{0} \neq x_{0}$. Since $x_{0} \leq f x_{0}$ and $f$ is nondecreasing, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \leq f x_{0} \leq f^{2} x_{0} \leq \cdots \leq f^{n} x_{0} \leq \cdots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by $x_{n}=f^{n} x_{0}$ so that $x_{n+1}=f x_{n}$. We may assume that $G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)>0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If not, then $x_{n}=x_{n+1}$ for some $n$ and $x_{n}$ becomes a fixed point of $f$. Using (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(G & \left.\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)\right) \\
& =\psi\left(G\left(f x_{n}, f x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}\right)\right)  \tag{7}\\
& \leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(N\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1},\right. & \left.x_{n+1}\right) \\
=\max & \left\{G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right), G\left(x_{n}, f x_{n}, f x_{n}\right),\right. \\
& G\left(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}\right), \\
& \left.\frac{\left[G\left(x_{n}, f x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}\right)+G\left(x_{n+1}, f x_{n}, f x_{n}\right)\right]}{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\max \left\{G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right), G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right.
$$

$$
G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)
$$

$$
\left.\frac{\left[G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)+G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right]}{2}\right\}
$$

$$
=\max \left\{G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right), G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)\right\}
$$

$$
N\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)
$$

$$
=\left(G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right), G\left(x_{n}, f x_{n}, f x_{n}\right),\right.
$$

$$
G\left(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}\right), G\left(x_{n}, f x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1}\right)
$$

$$
\left.G\left(x_{n+1}, f x_{n}, f x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

$$
=\left(G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right), G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right.
$$

$$
G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right), G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)
$$

$$
\left.G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)
$$

$$
=\left(G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right), G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right), G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right), 0\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right) \geq G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)$ for some $n \geq$ 0 , it follows that $\varphi\left(N\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)=0$, a contradiction. Therefore, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)<G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $M\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)=G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)$. Now $\left\{G\left(x_{n+1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence, so there exists $L \geq 0$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)=L$. This gives $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)=L$. By lower semicontinuity of $\varphi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(L, L, L, L, 0) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(N\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $L=0$. Taking the upper limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi\left(G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(N\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(L) & \leq \psi(L)-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{\infty} \varphi\left(N\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \psi(L)-\varphi(L, L, L, L, 0) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies $\varphi(L, L, L, L, 0)=0$ and we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a $G$-Cauchy sequence in $X$. If not, then there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and integers $n_{k}$ and $m_{k}$ with $m_{k}>$ $n_{k}>k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right) \geq \varepsilon, \quad G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}-1}, x_{m_{k}-1}\right)<\varepsilon \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A joint effect of (13) and (14) on

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon & \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}-1}, x_{m_{k}-1}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}-1}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)=\varepsilon \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right) \\
\leq \\
\leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)  \tag{17}\\
\leq
\end{array}\right)\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)\right\}
$$

implies that $\varepsilon \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
& \quad \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

combined with (13) and (16) results in $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}\right.$, $\left.x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \leq \varepsilon$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)=\varepsilon \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right) \\
& \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right) \\
& \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
&+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right) \\
& \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
&+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right) \\
& \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
&+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right) \\
&+G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

gives that $\varepsilon \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \quad+G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

implies by (13) and (19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right) \leq \varepsilon \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right)=\varepsilon . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (16) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain $\varepsilon \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)$.
But from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
& \quad \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
& \quad \leq G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right)+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
& \quad+G\left(x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

together with (13) and (16), we get $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}\right.$, $\left.x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \leq \varepsilon$. Thus,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)=\varepsilon, \\
G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
\leq M\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
=\max \left\{G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right), G\left(x_{n_{k}}, f x_{n_{k}}, f x_{n_{k}}\right),\right. \\
G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, f x_{m_{k}+1}, f x_{m_{k}+1}\right), \\
\\
{\left[G\left(x_{n_{k}}, f x_{m_{k}+1}, f x_{m_{k}+1}\right)\right.} \\
\\
\left.\left.+G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, f x_{n_{k}}, f x_{n_{k}}\right)\right] \times(2)^{-1}\right\} \\
=\max \left\{G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right), G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}+1}, x_{n_{k}+1}\right),\right. \\
G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right), \\
\end{array} \quad\left[G\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right), ~+G\left(x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{n_{k}+1}, x_{n_{k}+1}\right)\right] \times(2)^{-1}\right\},
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon & \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right) \\
& \leq \max \left\{\varepsilon, 0,0, \frac{[\varepsilon+\varepsilon]}{2}\right\}  \tag{27}\\
& =\varepsilon
\end{align*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} M\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)=\varepsilon \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)=(\varepsilon, 0,0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi\left(G\left(x_{n_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+2}, x_{m_{k}+2}\right)\right)= & \psi\left(G\left(f x_{n_{k}}, f x_{m_{k}+1}, f x_{m_{k}+1}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \psi\left(M\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)\right) \\
& -\varphi\left(N\left(x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}+1}, x_{m_{k}+1}\right)\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

which on taking the upper limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \psi(\varepsilon)-\varphi(\varepsilon, 0,0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon), \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction as $\varepsilon>0$.
It follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a $G$-Cauchy sequence and by $G$ completeness of $X$, there exists $u \in X$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\} G-$ converges to $u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $f$ is continuous, then it is clear that $f u=u$. Next, if $X$ has a sequential limit comparison property, then we have $x_{n} \preceq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(G & \left.\left(x_{n+1}, f u, f u\right)\right) \\
& =\psi\left(G\left(f x_{n}, f u, f u\right)\right)  \tag{32}\\
& \leq \psi\left(M\left(x_{n}, u, u\right)\right)-\varphi\left(N\left(x_{n}, u, u\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where
$M\left(x_{n}, u, u\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\max \left\{G\left(x_{n}, u, u\right), G\left(x_{n}, f x_{n}, f x_{n}\right), G(u, f u, f u),\right. \\
\left.\frac{\left[G\left(x_{n}, f u, f u\right)+G\left(u, f x_{n}, f x_{n}\right)\right]}{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=\max \left\{G\left(x_{n}, u, u\right), G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right), G(u, f u, f u),\right.
$$

$$
\left.\frac{\left[G\left(x_{n}, f u, f u\right)+G\left(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right]}{2}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(x_{n}, u, u\right) \\
& =\left(G\left(x_{n}, u, u\right), G\left(x_{n}, f x_{n}, f x_{n}\right), G(u, f u, f u),\right. \\
& \quad \\
& \left.\quad G\left(x_{n}, f u, f u\right), G\left(u, f x_{n}, f x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =  \tag{33}\\
& \left(G\left(x_{n}, u, u\right), G\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right), G(u, f u, f u),\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad G\left(x_{n}, f u, f u\right), G\left(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(x_{n}, u, u\right)=G(u, f u, f u)$. Thus, from (32), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi(G(u, f u, f u))=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(G\left(f x_{n}, f u, f u\right)\right) \\
\leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\psi\left(M\left(x_{n}, u, u\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.-\varphi\left(M\left(x_{n}, u, u\right)\right)\right]  \tag{34}\\
\leq \psi(G(u, f u, f u)) \\
-\varphi(0,0, G(u, f u, f u) \\
G(u, f u, f u), 0)
\end{gather*}
$$

This gives $\varphi(0,0, G(u, f u, f u), G(u, f u, f u), 0)=0$ so that $G(u, f u, f u)=0$ and, hence, $f u=u$.

Corollary 10. Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $f$ be a nondecreasing self mapping on a complete G-metric space $X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(G(f x, f y, f y)) \leq \psi(M(x, y, y))-\varphi(N(x, y, y)), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi \in \Psi, \varphi \in \Phi$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
M(x, y, y)= & a_{1} G(x, y, y)+a_{2} G(x, f x, f x) \\
& +a_{3} G(y, f y, f y) \\
& a_{4}[G(x, f y, f y)+G(y, f x, f x)] \\
N(x, y, y)= & (G(x, y, y), G(x, f x, f x) \\
& G(y, f y, f y), G(x, f y, f y), G(y, f x, f x)) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$ with $a_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i=1,2,3,4$ with $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+2 a_{4} \leq 1$. Suppose that there exists $x_{0} \in X$ with $x_{0} \leq f x_{0}$. If $f$ is continuous or $X$ has a sequential limit comparison property, then $f$ has a fixed point in $X$.

Now we give an example to illustrate above result.
Example 11. Let $X=[0,1]$ and $G(x, y, z)=\max \{|x-y|$, $|y-z|,|z-x|\}$ be a $G$-metric on $X$. Define $f: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{x}{12} \quad \forall x \in X \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take $\psi(t)=(3 / 4) t$ and $\varphi\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=(1 / 12)\left(t_{1}+t_{2}+\right.$ $t_{3}+t_{4}+t_{5}$ ) for all $t, t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5} \in[0, \infty)$.

Now, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(x, f x, f x)=\frac{11 x}{12}, \quad G(y, f y, f y)=\frac{11 y}{12} \\
\frac{[G(x, f y, f y)+G(y, f x, f x)]}{2}=\frac{|12 x-y|+12 y-x}{24} . \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

So that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(f x, f y, f y)= & \frac{1}{12}(y-x) \\
\leq & \frac{3}{4}[
\end{aligned} \frac{1}{6}(y-x)+\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{11 x}{12}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{11 y}{12}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{12}[
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
&(y-x)+\frac{11 x}{12}+\frac{11 y}{12} \\
&\left.+\frac{|12 x-y|+12 y-x}{24}\right] \\
&= \frac{3}{4} M(x, y, y)-\frac{1}{12} N(x, y, y) \\
&= \psi(M(x, y, y))-\varphi(N(x, y, y)) . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (35) is satisfied with $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=a_{4}=1 / 6$, where $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+2 a_{4} \leq 1$. Hence, the conditions of Corollary 10 are satisfied and 0 is the fixed point of $f$.

Corollary 12. Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $f$ be a nondecreasing self mapping on a complete $G$-metric space $X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(f x, f y, f y) \leq M(x, y, y)-\varphi(M(x, y, y)), \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(x, y, y)=\max \{ G(x, y, y), G(x, f x, f x) \\
& G(y, f y, f y) \\
&\left.\frac{[G(x, f y, f y)+G(y, f x, f x)]}{2}\right\} \\
& N(x, y, y)=(G(x, y, y), G(x, f x, f x), G(y, f y, f y) \\
&G(x, f y, f y), G(y, f x, f x)) \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$. Suppose that there exists $x_{0} \in X$ with $x_{0} \preceq f x_{0}$. If $f$ is continuous or $X$ has a sequential limit comparison property, then $f$ has a fixed point in $X$.

Corollary 13. Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set and $f$ be a nondecreasing self mapping on a complete $G$-metric space $X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(f x, f y, f y) \leq M(x, y, y)-\varphi(N(x, y, y)), \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
M(x, y, y)= & a_{1} G(x, y, y)+a_{2} G(x, f x, f x) \\
& +a_{3} G(y, f y, f y)+a_{4}[G(x, f y, f y) \\
& +G(y, f x, f x)] \\
N(x, y, y)= & (G(x, y, y), G(x, f x, f x), G(y, f y, f y), \\
& G(x, f y, f y), G(y, f x, f x)) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$, where $a_{i}>0$ for $i=1,2,3,4$ with $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+2 a_{4} \leq 1$. Suppose that there exists $x_{0} \in$ $X$ with $x_{0} \preceq f x_{0}$. If $f$ is continuous or $X$ has a sequential limit comparison property, then $f$ has a fixed point in $X$.
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